

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Haughton
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287265
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Haughton
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287265
Title	JPA 30: Ashton Moss West
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Haughton
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287265
Title	JPA 32: South of Hyde
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Insufficient consideration has been given to the allocation of alternative urban sites, including increased densities and better use of the High Street and other brownfield land in advance of releasing land from within the Green Belt. The Plan is therefore unsound as there has been

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>insufficient assessment of reasonable alternatives. In order to address this issue the</p> <p>Plan should be modified to remove all proposed allocations that are currently designated on land falling within the Green Belt, with additional land identified for</p> <p>development within the main urban areas</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>The proposed allocations should be reassessed in relation to their suitability for development, with those within the Green Belt, in unsustainable locations, at risk from flooding or poorly accessed to be removed</p> <p>from the Plan. in short, we are asking that the Plan ensure the delivery of the right</p> <p>homes in the right places, and the deletion of inappropriate and undeliverable sites</p> <p>from the Plan.</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Haughton</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Simon</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1287265</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>the Secretary of State had stated that "the housing figure is not a target. Local authorities should make a realistic assessment of the number of homes their communities need using the standard method as a starting point". It has not been demonstrated that this has been done and therefore the figures proposed cannot be justified</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</p>	<p>revisit growth forecasts and housing requirements</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

you have identified above.	
Family Name	Haughton
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287265
Title	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Local amenities such as schools, day care centres etc are already at full capacity in the area
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Review amenities
Family Name	Haughton
Given Name	Simon
Person ID	1287265
Title	Supporting Evidence
Type	Web
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	The site is greenfield and on the boundary of ancient woodland. The topic paper indicates that there are no known ecological constraints which are so important as to preclude the allocation of the site, although mitigation or compensation will be required. However, the site includes a Site of Biological Importance, is adjacent to a nature reserve and ancient woodland, and a number

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

of protected species and potential specially-protected priority species have been identified.